

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/491,902	01/27/2000	Cary Lee Bates	IBM/116	9216
7590 11/24/2003			EXAMINER	
Scott A Stinebruner			ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH	
Wood Herron & Evans LLP			Lagranum I	D : 000 \ 100 (000
2700 Carew Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
441 Vine Street			2655	9
Cincinnati, OH 45202-2917			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

NOV 2 4 2003

Technology Center 2600

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Paper No. 9

(4)

not agreed with

and auto dialing

Hitz alei

Application Number: 09/491,902 Filing Date: January 27, 2000 Appellant(s): BATES ET AL.

Cary Lee Bates et al. For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed on April 8, 2003.

...

(1) Real party interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

paradiga (pápala), amporto locarod

Change

Luu, iliitii.

ກຸນເຜີບໃນປາກ ເຮົາຮັດ

Application/Control Number: 09/491,902

Art Unit: 2655

The brief does not contain a statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief. Therefore, it is presumed that there are none. The Board, however, may exercise its discretion to require an explicit statement as to the existence of any related appeals and interferences.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

=

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

(7) Grouping of Claims

The appellant's statement in the brief that certain claims do not stand or fall together is not agreed with because claims 10-11, 22-23 and 27 recite similar step for recognizing Applicant's and auto dialing a phone number, while claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-16, 18-21, and 25-26, recite start position playing a voice message by starting with a telephone number (phone numbers) located in the voice message. Therefore the rejections of claims 10-11, 22-23 and 27 should stand and fall together while the rejections of claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-16, 18-21, and 25-26 stand and fall together.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,902 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

(8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(9) Prior Art of Record

5,504,805 LEE 4-1996

5,651,056 ETING et al. 7-1997

(10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

fulci.k. iinda

1 = :-.1

Claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-16, 18-21 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by

Lee. This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, Paper No. 6.

Claims 10-11, 22-23 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by Eting et al.. This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, Paper No. 6.

(11) Response to Argument

As explained by the Applicant the claimed invention is directed to automatically identifying and playing telephone numbers left in a voice message as well as automatic dialing of the telephone number.

Applicant's assertion that Lee does not teach "the determination a playback recorded me start position ... based upon detected spoken number is" traversed. Lee's that the phorant (similar to the applicant) is related to playing calling party's telephone number from number that is left in a

voice mail to a user before playing the voice message. The examiner

disagrees, and submits that Lee discloses identifying caller's telephone number, using voice (number) recognition/detection system, and where the detected number location is stored in a memory as a playback start position for playing to the called party, "prior/before to replaying the (rest) of the messages to the called party"

(Col.2, lines 42-47). For example a first voice message is shown in figure 2 with its "playback start position" address 12-18 and at that address the telephone number detected in the voice message is located.

Lee explains that the message start position/address is the location where the phone numbers are stored for ex. Lee says "It is

preferable to convey the phone number from memory 18 to the called party before replaying the message to the called party. This offers the advantage of allowing the

recorded message. After listening to the recorded message and verifying recognizer for that the phone number the called party can automatically dial the phone conversation number from memory 18 by enabling dialer 24". Col.2, lines 42-50.

Lee also explains during playback, the position of the telephone ting clearly number is identified and judged to be the starting position by the system.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,902

Art Unit: 2655

"The information in the message table provides microprocessor 28 with the start and end addresses of the verbal message and

phone number stored in recorder 12 and memory 18, respectively. After retrieving the information from the message table, the phone number or "no number" message stored in memory 18 is displayed and/or recited, before playing the voice message". Col.4, lines 62-67.

Lee also shows where more phone numbers are stored in the memory as shown in Fig.2. and a control pad, Fig.1, 34 for controlling the above to (forwarding, rewinding or skipping etc) (claims 4, 5 and 13).

For claim 9 Lee's system is about recognizing/identifying <u>telephone</u> <u>number</u>.

Applicant also argued that Eting does not teach determining whether the numbers are telephone numbers or not. The examiner disagree.

To begin with the Eting's art is related to a spoken telephone recorder and recognizer for recognizing spoken telephone numbers during telephone conversation as well as from messages left by the calling party, for the purpose of playback and automatic dialing of said the telephone number. Eting clearly states that the invention comprises an accessory device where the accessory device includes "a spoken number recognizer"

Application/Control Number: 09/491,902

Art Unit: 2655

operative to recognize telephone number" (abstract), Eting also teaches where the device includes a spoken telephone number confirmation device "operative to confirm the recognized telephone number" (Col.3, lines 64-68), Eting further teaches once the telephone number is recognized and confirmed it could be automatically re-dialed. See the entire doc.

(12) conclusion

For the above reasons the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

Page 6

DANIEL ABEBE
PRIMARY EXAMINED

Daniel Abebe Examiner, Art Unit 2655 November 18, 2003